



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/820,496	03/19/97	WILHELM	W

ALFRED M WALKER
225 OLD COUNTRY ROAD
MELVILLE NY 11747

B5M1/0401

EXAMINER KAPLAN, J

ART UNIT 2107	PAPER NUMBER
------------------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 04/01/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

5
BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/820,496

Applicant(s)

Wilhelm

Examiner

Jonathan Kaplan

Group Art Unit

2107

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Kaplan(3) Leonard Belkin(2) Alfred Walker

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 30, 1998Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, and 8

Identification of prior art discussed:

Edwards et al. (5646486) and Okamoto et al. (5493155)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Approved the substitution of a new figure 10 which clarifies the drawing. Discussed claim limitations for claims 1 and 8 which would overcome the art rejection of the Edwards reference. Discussed possible limitations to overcome the rejection of claim 4. The language of claims 22-48 were vague and indefinite and not clearly understood.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.